

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 DAVID P. TARINO,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 LOS ANGELES AIRPORT MARRIOTT  
HOTEL,

15 Defendant.  
16

CASE NO. 08CV759 JLS (NLS)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
CASE SHOULD NOT BE  
TRANSFERRED TO CENTRAL  
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**


17 On April 28, 2008, the above action was transferred into this Court from the United States  
18 District Court for the District of New Jersey. In an April 17, 2008 Letter Order, the Hon.  
19 Madeline Cox Arleo granted defendant's motion to transfer to this Court, holding that "venue is  
20 proper in the Southern District of California because the relevant facts giving rise to Plaintiff's  
21 action took place in Los Angeles, California. Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that he was assaulted  
22 by another patron on the premises of Marriott's hotel in Los Angeles." (Doc. No. 10, at 3.) The  
23 Southern District of California, however, only has jurisdiction over matters arising in San Diego  
24 and Imperial Counties. Magistrate Judge Arleo did not cite any facts underlying this litigation that  
25 occurred in either county. The Central District of California has jurisdiction over matters arising  
26 in, inter alia, Los Angeles County. All of the events giving rise to this action apparently took  
27 place in Los Angeles County.

28 //

1           Therefore, the Court hereby **ORDERS** the parties to show cause why the Court should not  
2 sua sponte transfer this action to the Central District of California. Either party's failure to  
3 respond to this Order by Friday, May 16, 2008 **SHALL BE CONSTRUED** as non-opposition to  
4 such a transfer.

5           IT IS SO ORDERED.

6  
7 DATED: May 6, 2008

8   
9 Honorable Janis L. Sammartino  
10 United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28